

What's the Difference?

On the Way to Interpret the Holy Scriptures
in the ELCA and in the LC-MS . . .

The ELCA's Primary Principle for Interpreting the Scriptures Concerning Homosexuality:

"The task force members came to recognize that the biblical-theological case for wholesale change in this church's current standards has not been made to the **satisfaction of the majority of participants** in the study," it said.

http://www.elca.org/Scriptlib/CO/ELCA_News/encArticleList.asp?a=2978&p=2

The LC-MS's New Principle for Interpreting the Scriptures, Particularly the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Commandments:

If the **collective will of the Synod** as expressed in its resolutions adopted in convention is first set aside so that complaints can be dealt with only with the use and exegesis of the Scriptures, then one can certainly develop a long and thorough argument for complaints. Such argument, however, can also be very subjective and reflect the beginning assumptions, bias, and even desired outcome of the examiner. Where the Synod has provided even a minimal measure of guidance, we should not stand in judgment of one another in matters of discretionary and extra ordinary nature, especially in matters of tragedy and emotional distress when and where there is no intention to belittle or defy the positions of Synod.

Dispute Resolution Panel Decision
re: Rev. David Benke, April 10, 2003

What's the Difference?

On the Way to Interpret the Holy Scriptures
in the ELCA and in the LC-MS . . .

The ELCA's Primary Principle for Interpreting the Scriptures Concerning Homosexuality:

"The task force members came to recognize that the biblical-theological case for wholesale change in this church's current standards has not been made to the **satisfaction of the majority of participants** in the study," it said.

http://www.elca.org/Scriptlib/CO/ELCA_News/encArticleList.asp?a=2978&p=2

The LC-MS's New Principle for Interpreting the Scriptures, Particularly the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Commandments:

If the **collective will of the Synod** as expressed in its resolutions adopted in convention is first set aside so that complaints can be dealt with only with the use and exegesis of the Scriptures, then one can certainly develop a long and thorough argument for complaints. Such argument, however, can also be very subjective and reflect the beginning assumptions, bias, and even desired outcome of the examiner. Where the Synod has provided even a minimal measure of guidance, we should not stand in judgment of one another in matters of discretionary and extra ordinary nature, especially in matters of tragedy and emotional distress when and where there is no intention to belittle or defy the positions of Synod.

Dispute Resolution Panel Decision
re: Rev. David Benke, April 10, 2003